UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/918,365   | 07/30/2001                   | Eugene T. Michal     | ACS 55933           | 1073             |
| Cameron Kerrig   | 7590 06/09/200<br><b>gan</b> | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P<br>One Maritime Plaza<br>Suite 300 |                              |                      | CAMERON, ERMA C     |                  |
|  |                              |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| San Francisco,   | CA 94111-3492                | 1792                 |                     |                  |
|  |                              |                      |                     |                  |
|  |                              |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |                              |                      | 06/09/2008          | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 09/918,365      | MICHAL ET AL. |  |
|                 |               |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |

|   | /Erma Cameron/   | 1792   |   |
|---|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with th   | e correspondence add   | lress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>29 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI   | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR   | ALLOWANCE.   |   |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | eplies: (1) an amendment, affid<br>al (with appeal fee) in complian        | avit, or other evidence, v<br>ce with 37 CFR 41.31; o            | vhich places the r (3) a Request          |
| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing   | date of the final rejection.   |  |   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ao no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo<br>ter than SIX MONTHS from the ma  | iling date of the final rejection                                | on.                                       |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | ).   |  |   |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra transfer of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amou<br>nortened statutory period for reply o | int of the fee. The appropri<br>riginally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>be action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl   | iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must   | oe filed within two month  | s of the date of                          |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br>AMENDMENTS  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))   | to avoid dismissal of the  |   |
| 3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b   | ut prior to the date of filing a br  | ef, will <u>not</u> be entered be                                | ecause                                    |
| (a) $oxtime$ They raise new issues that would require further cor   |  | IOTE below);   |   |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  | •  |  |   |
| <ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett<br/>appeal; and/or</li></ul>   | er form for appeal by materially   | reducing or simplifying t  | he issues for                             |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  | orresponding number of finally   | rejected claims  |   |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11  | -  | ojootoa olaimo.  |   |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  |  | Compliant Amendment (  | PTOL-324).                                |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  | ,  | ,   |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alle   |  | e, timely filed amendme  | nt canceling the                          |
| non-allowable claim(s).   | ·  | •  | J   |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  | will be entered and an e   | xplanation of                             |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |  |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |   |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |  |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and</li> </ol>   |  |  |   |
| was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | and and  |  | moodeany and                              |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap                                     | oeal and/or appellant fail                                       | s to provide a                            |
| 10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  |  |  |   |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | I NOT I II II II II  |  |   |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.   |  |  | ce because:                               |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   | -  |   |
|   | /Erma Cameron/   |  |   |
|   | Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 1792   |  |   |
|   |  |  |   |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to independent claims 1, 15 and 34 (to delete "oxirane") raises new issues that would require a new search and further consideration. The proposed amendment to claim 34 ("material comprises superoxide dismutase mimetic (SODm), and heparin") also raises new issues that would require a new search and further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments are most in light of the fact that the proposed amendments are not being entered.

/Erma Cameron/